THOINE /UZ.6/ 5.4 UU • FAA /UZ.6/ 5.7766		
107.01		
₹		
3/0.410		
VE / 02.0/		

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	Rory T. Kay, Esq. (NSBN 12416)
	Tara U. Teegarden, Esq. (NSBN 15344)
2	McDONALD CARANO LLP
	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
	Telephone: (702) 873-4100
4	rkay@mcdonaldcarano.com
_	tteegarden@mcdonaldcarano.com
5	
	Paula D. Shaffner, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice
6	Amy E. Sparrow, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
7	Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, LLP
′	2005 Market Street
8 P	Philadelphia, PA 19103-7018
0	Telephone: (215) 564-8000
9	pshaffner@stradley.com
	asparrow@stradley.com
10	
_	Attorneys for Defendant Lincoln
11	Financial Advisors Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BRIGHTHOUSE LIFE INSURANCI	Ξ
COMPANY; and BRIGHTHOUSE	
SECURITIES, LLC,	

Plaintiffs,

GEORGE SCHMIDT, JR.; LINCOLN FINANCIAL ADVISORS CORPORATION; and LINCOLN FINANCIAL SECURITIES CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01078-JCM-VCF

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR LINCOLN FINANCIAL ADVISORS **CORPORATION TO FILE RESPONSIVE** PLEADING TO COMPLAINT

[Second Request]

Under Local Rules IA 6-1 and 7-1, Defendant Lincoln Financial Advisors Corporation ("LFA") and Plaintiffs Brighthouse Life Insurance Company and Brighthouse Securities, LLC (collectively "Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, hereby stipulate and request that the Court extend the deadline for LFA to file its responsive pleading to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint ("FAC") from May 3, 2023, up to and including May 24, 2023.

/// 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In support of this Stipulation, the parties state as follows:

- 1. On June 7, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint asserting claims against LFA, among others. [ECF No. 1].
 - 2. Plaintiffs amended their complaint on November 24, 2021. [ECF No. 15].
- 3. On November 30, 2021, LFA moved to dismiss Plaintiffs' FAC under FRCP 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6). [ECF No. 20].
- 4. Plaintiffs filed their Opposition to LFA's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' FAC on February 4, 2022. [ECF No. 31].
- 5. On February 25, 2022, LFA filed its reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' FAC. [ECF No. 37].
- 6. On March 31, 2023, this Court entered an order granting in part, and denying in part, LFA's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' FAC ("Order"). [ECF No. 52].
- 7. The Court's March 31, 2023 Order triggered LFA's 14-day deadline to file its responsive pleading to Plaintiffs' FAC.
- 8. On April 17, 2023, the Court granted the parties' Stipulation to Extend the Deadline for LFA to File Responsive Pleading to Plaintiffs' FAC. [ECF No. 54].
- 9. LFA's counsel has been investigating and been preparing an appropriate response to Plaintiffs' remaining claims. Despite best efforts, LFA's counsel needs additional time to complete LFA's counsel's investigation and prepare a response to Plaintiffs' remaining claims.
- 10. This is LFA's second request to this Court for an extension of time to respond to Plaintiffs' FAC, the request is in good faith and not for the purposes of delay, and the requested extension will not prejudice any party.

/// 23

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

Case 2:21-cv-01078-JCM-VCF Document 58 Filed 05/03/23 Page 3 of 3